# Efficacy Of Boldenone Undecylenate And Probiotic As Growth Promotors On Male Buffalo Calves

Hosny Abd El Fadil\*, Sawsan El Skeik\*, Emam E E \*\* and Morsy Matar\*\*
Fac. of Vet. Med. Zagazig University (Pharamacology department)\*
Animal Health Research Institute, Zagazig Branch (Pharamacology department)

### **ABSTRACT**

This study was planned to investigate the effect of probiotic and Boldenone undecylenate on body weight gain, feed conversion rate, blood picture and some biochemical alterations of male buffalo calves. This study was done on twenty male healthy buffalo calves 7-8 months old and weight ranges from 164-170 Kg. They were divided into 4 equal groups each of 5 calves, 1<sup>st</sup> group healthy buffalo calves (control), 2<sup>nd</sup> group healthy buffalo calves treated with probiotic (Biogen), 3<sup>rd</sup> group, healthy buffalo calves treated with half therapeutic dose of Boldenone undecylenate and 4<sup>th</sup> group healthy buffalo calves treated with the therapeutic dose of Boldenone undecylenate. Two blood samples were collected at 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> injection at 4 weeks and 4 weeks post last injection. 1<sup>st</sup> Sample used for hematological studies. 2<sup>nd</sup> sample used for biochemical analysis.

Oral dosing of probiotic and I/M injection of full dose of boldenone undecylenate induced a significant increase in weight gain, erythrocytic count, hemoglobin% content, packed cell volume %, total leukocytic count, total proteins, , globulin, calcium and phosphorus and significant decrease in feed conversion rate beside insignificant effect on albumin compared with control buffalo calves. Half therapeutic dose of boldenone undecylenate induce insignificant effect in all above parameters.

Probiotic and Boldenone undecylenate in therapeutic dose showed non significant effect on serum ALT, AST, ALP, urea and creatinine and significant decrease in blood glucose level.

It could be concluded that the Probiotic and boldenone undecylenate induce good improvement in body weight and feed conversion rate but boldenone undecylenate has some adverse effects on some biochemical parameters than probiotic, so probiotics can be used as safe growth promoter.

### INTRODUCTION

Buffalo constitute one of the most important sources of meat and milk production in Egypt. Buffalo have a sort of resistance against infection compared with other domestic live-stock (1).

Probiotics are defined as living microorganisms, which exert health benefits (2) are microbial dietary adjuvants that beneficially affect the hosts' physiology by modulating their mucosal and systemic immunity as well as improving the nutritional and microbial balance in their intestinal tracts. Probiotics are potentially useful in the

management and treatment of various gastrointestinal diseases including diarrhea, inflammatory bowel disease, and colon cancer (3). Probiotics are used in poultry management not only to enhance performance, but also to develop and stimulate the immune response (4).

Boldenone undecylenate is considered a long-lasting anabolic steroid recommen-ded in treating debilitated animals, when an improvement in weight, hair coat, or general physical condition are desired. Its effect persists for up to 8 weeks (5). Boldenone (1, 2-dehydrotestosterone) is a common veterinary

anabolic agent and its structure is very similar to testosterone (6). This study was planned to study the effects of the probiotic and boldenone undecylenate on body weight gain, feed conversion rate, blood picture and some biochemical parameters of the buffalo calves.

## Amylase, Protease), Bacillus subtilis nato (not less than 1\*1011CFU) (Samu Median Co..Ltd.2012).

2-Boldenone undecylenate (Equigan) injectable clear solution, produced by Laboratorios Tornel. Co. Mexico. available as vail 50- 100 ml, each ml contains 50 mg active principle.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Drugs

1-Probiotic (Biogen)® It is composed of digestive enzymes (α-Amylase,

### Animals

Twenty male healthy buffalo calves of native breed, aged 7-8 month weighting 164-170 kg body weight from a pirated farm in Menia El Kamah City, Sharkia Province, Egypt; were used in this study.

# Ration Table 1. Complete mixed ration Kg % (as fed)

Ingredients	Yellow corn	Wheat bran	Cotton seed meal	Soybean meal	Molasses			Vitamin & micromineral
Percentage	60 %kg	10% kg	15% kg	11% kg	2% kg	1% kg	1% kg	0.2% kg

Wheat straw 1% kg/body weight / day.

Berseem hay 1.5 % kg/body weight/day

## Experimental design

Twenty male buffalo calves were divided into four equal groups (five each) 1st group (control group) 2<sup>nd</sup> group: calves treated with probiotic (Biogen 1Kg/ ton feed) 3rd group: Calves treated with half therapeutic dose of Boldenone undecylenate 1ml/ 90 Kg B.W and 4th group: calves treated with the therapeutic dose 1ml/45 Kg B.W.

### Sampling

Two blood samples were collected from each calve of all groups by Jugular vein puncture before the use of drugs and at 1st, 2nd, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> injection every 4weeks and at 4weeks post last injection (6th);1st sample was taken in tube containing EDTA for estimation of blood picture, and 2nd sample was taken for obtaining clear serum for estimation of total protein(9) albumin (10),globulin calculated as difference between total proteins and albumin, transaminases AST-ALT(11) ALP(12) and serum urea was estimated (13).

## Statistical analysis

The obtained data were statistically analysed using students T test (15).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study aims to spot the light on the effect of the probiotic and boldenone undecylenate in buffalo calves with regard to its effect on body weight gain, feed conversion rate, some adverse effects of the probiotic and boldenone undecylenate on erythrogram, total leukocytic count, protein profile as well as liver and kidney functions.

Regarding the effect of probiotic on body weight gain in healthy buffalo calves, the obtained results revealed a significant increase in body weight and weight gain compared with control buffalo calves Table 2. This result is supported with the result previously recorded (17) in dairy cattle and in Holstein calves (16, 18) and in lambs (19). The increased body weight gain and reduction in feed conversion rate may be due to the Probiotic which improved the general health of animals through stimulating the appetite (20)improvement of the intestinal balance which maintains the optimal condition of beneficial microorganisms within the gastrointestinal tract (21).

In our study, the healthy buffalo calves I/M injected with full therapeutic dose of boldenone undecylenate showed significant increase in weight gain and reduction in feed conversion rate whereas half therapeutic dose induced insignificant increase in body weight gain and reduction in feed conversion rate Table 2. Our results are similar to these recorded before in cattle calves (6), in beef cattle (20) in goats (21) and in lambs (22). Rise in weight gain post injection of boldenone undecylenate may be due to improvement in appetite (23) promoting the body tissue building processes by anabolic steroid boldenone increasing nitrogen retention, protein synthesis and decreasing muscle protein degradation (24).

The obtained results revealed also that oral administration of probiotic to buffalo calves elicited a marked increase in erythrocytic count, hemoglobin content, packed cell volume % and a significant increase in total leukocytic count alonge the course of the experiment Table 3. Our results were compatible with that recorded in Friesian calves (25), female goats (23) and in lambs (17). Elevation in total erythrocytic counts may be due to stimulation of erythropoietin by stimulating erythropoeitic stimulating factor by increasing the release of erythropoietin from the kidneys. Erythropoeitin is a hormone known as EPO signals the body to increase the production of red blood cells (27). Our results go hand in hand with those mentioned before (28, 29) which showed that probiotics induce significant increase in total leucocytic counts. These results coincide with those obtained in lambs received probiotic and showed a significant increase in total leukocytic count (30).

In the present study, it has been shown that healthy buffalo calves I/M injected with full therapeutic dose of boldenone undecylenate displayed a significant increase in total erythrocytic count, haemoglobin % and paked cell volume % Table 4. Similar findings were recorded in rats (31) in kids (22) and in lambs (23). Elevation of erythrocytic count could be attributed to boldenone undecylenate which stimulates erythropoietin hormone Leukocytosis induced in buffalo calves post boldenone undecylenate injection was recorded previously in horses (33). The present work revealed that, buffalo calves supplemented with probiotic showed a significant increase (p<0.001) in total protein and globulin while showed non significant changes in albumin. Similar findings were reported (34), in growing buffalo calves (35) and in lambs (36). Elevation of proteins profile in our results may be attributed to improvement of the intestinal absorption of some nutrients which leads to increased protein levels (37). Also, the elevation in protein induced by probiotic may be a response to proteolytic activities of bacillus species following probiotic which increase protein digestibility (38).

The results of this study revealed that boldenone undecylenate in full therapeutic dose induce significant elevation in serum total protein, and globulin level in healthy buffalo calves, while showed non significant changes in serum albumin Table 5. Similar results were recorded in lambs (23) and in rabbits (39). Boldenone undecylenate induced a significant increase in protein profile as a result of increased nitrogen retention, protein synthesis in liver, increased appetite and decreased protein catabolism (6, 40).

The obtained data revealed that, buffalo calves supplemented with probiotic showed non significant changes in serum ALT, AST and ALP levels when compared with control group Table 6. Our results were in accordance with the results reported before in lamb (41).

Boldenone undecylenate in half therapeutic dose produced insignificant increase in aminotransferase (AST and ALT) and alkaline phosphatase but full therapeutic dose of boldenone undecylenate displayed a significant increase (P<0.001) in AST, ALT and alkaline phosphatase level in buffalo calves all over the experimental period compared to non treated calves. Similar results were previously obtained (22) whereas our results were not in agreement with those obtained (42) in lambs.

In this study it is clear that buffalo calves supplemented with the tested probiotic induced insignificant effect on urea and creatinine allover the experimental period when compared with healthy non treated buffalo calves. Same results were recorded in lambs (17).

The obtained results showed that. intramuscular injection of boldenone undecylenate in therapeutic dose to buffalo calves induced changes in kidney function, represented by insignificant decrease in urea and significant increase in creatinine level allover the experimental period when compared with healthy non treated calves. These findings coincide with those obtained in dogs (40) and in goats (43). The change in kidney caused by boldenone undecylenate might be due to rapid renal elimination of urea (44). It has been also reported that boldenone undecylenate induces insignificant reduction in urea levels due to reduction of urea formation in liver as a sequence reduction of protein catabolism (24).

Our results showed that, supplementation of buffalo calves with probiotic afforded a significant decrease in blood glucose level. These findings agreed with those obtained in lambs (45), and in rats (46). Gluconeogenesis, in ruminants, is the main source of glucose (50). The decrease in glucose level in calves supplemented with probiotics could be possibly attributed to lowered gluconeogenesis (48, 49).

The glucose level of buffalo calves I/M injected with boldenone undecylenate revealed significant increase allover the experiential

period. Our results are reinforced the study which recorded (50) found that boldenone undecylenate increases blood glucose level due to increased serum cortisol and decreased insulin receptors sensitivity. It has been found also that boldenone undecylenate showed significant increase in glucose (51).

The present work revealed that there was a significant increase in serum calcium and phosphorus level in buffalo supplemented with probiotic. Same results were recorded in holestein cattle (36). This elevation in calcium level may be due to increasd solubility of minerals by the Probiotic, because of increased bacterial production of short-chain fatty acids, which is promoted by the greater supply of substrate, an enlargement of the absorption surface by promoting proliferation enterocytes mediated by fermentation products, predominantly lactate and butyrate, increased expression of calciumbinding proteins (52).

Our study revealed that, boldenone undecylenate elicited a significant increase in calcium and phosphorus level of buffalo calves. Same results were recorded in goats (22) and lambs (51). They were supported by the previous results (53) which demonstrated that boldenone undecylenate induced a significant elevation in calcium level due to retention of calcium in the body. Elevation of calcium and phosphorus may be due to decrease in the urinary excretion of calcium and phosphorus by anabolic steroids (40).

It could be concluded that the Probiotic and boldenone undecylenate induced improvement in body weight and feed conversion rate but boldenone undecylenate has some adverse effects on some biochemical parameters than probiotic, so probiotics can be used as safe growth promoter.

Table 2. Effect of therapeutic dose of Biogen (1Kg/ton feed) and Equigan (1ml/45 Kg or 1ml/90Kg b.wt) on body weight gain and feed conversion rate in Buffalo calves (Mean + S.E.) (n=5)

Grou	THE REAL PROPERTY.	Paramete	13	Va	alues Post tr	e in Buffalo	weeks)	***************************************
Grou	ips		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	.th
		I.W. Kg	164±	183.6±				6 <sup>th</sup>
			4.39	5.45	6.23			290.3
		F.W. Kg	183.6±	205.5±	230±	6.23	6.03	7.7
Ic			5.45	6.23	6.03	230±	259.2±	324.55
control	7	W.G. Kg	19.6±	21.9±	24.6±	6.03	7.29	5.32
00			5.45	0.78	0.63	24.6±	29.1±	34.25
		F.C. Kg	138.18±	154.6±	171.22±	0.63	1.34	2.11
		r.c. ixg	4.34	4.53	4.38	171.22±		231.19
		F.C.R.	7.05±	7.06±	6.96±	4.38	5.14	6.17
		1.C.N.	0.24	0.20	0.28	6.96±	6.64±	6.75±
	ĭ	.W. Kg	165±	187.5±	213.1±	0.28	0.24	0.18
	1	. W. IXg	5	5.98	6.38	213.1±	241.9±	$313.4 \pm$
	F	.W. Kg	187.5±	213.1±		6.38	6	5.26
tic	1	.w. ng	5.98	6.38	241.9±	241.9±	275.4±	353.4±
Probiotic	77	V.G. Kg	22.5±	25.6±	6	6	6.07	5.42
rol	γ,	r.G. Kg	1.3**	0.37*	28.8±	28.8±	33.5±	40±
Д		CV	128.25±	143.87±	0.8**	0.8**	0.43**	0.67*
	F.C. Kg		3.17	4.79	158.97±	158.97±	189.27±	229.2±
	1	E C D	5.70±	5.62±	3.61	3.61	4.06	3.55
	1	F.C.R.	0.19**	0.25**	5.52±	5.52±	5.65±	5.73±
		I XXI TZ	165.30±	186±	0.24**	0.24**	0.30*	0.21**
		I.W. Kg	2.82	3.44	208±	208±	235±	$300.3 \pm$
	wt)	EW I	186±	208±	4.16	4.16	4.34	6.85
	se b.	F.W. Kg	3.44	4.16	235±	235±	265.4±	338.8±
	Half dose [1ml/90 Kg b.wt]	WOR	22.48±	28.75±	4.34	4.34	5.11	4.22
te	alf 90	W.G. Kg	0.91	0.62	30.45±	$30.45 \pm$	31.19±	32.07±
ndecylenate	H	ECK	136.5±	154.24±	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.58
y le	(11	F.C. Kg	4.10	5.18	172. 8±	172.8±	192.13±	228.69±
qec		ECD	6.50±	6.62±	5.40	5.40	6.65	5.33
un		F.C.R.	0.12	0.02±	6.40±	$6.40 \pm$	6.32±	5.94±
e		I W T	166.5 ±	189.4±	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.32
Boldenone		I.W. Kg	4.3	5.6	215.6±	215.6±	245.5±	317.8±
der	wt)	EW E	189.4±	215.6±	6.08	6.08	6.2	9.53
201	se b.v	F.W. Kg	5.6	6.08	245.5±	245.5±	279.4±	358.6±
<del>-</del>	Full dose I/45Kg b.	W.C. T.	22.9±		6.2	6.2	7.18	7.16
=	111 451	W.G. Kg	0.43*	26.2±	29.9±	29.9±	33.9±	40.8±
Ľ	Full dose [1ml/45Kg b.wt]	D.C. **	122.51±	0.86**	1.07**	1.07**	0.45**	0.53*
	(Jn	F.C. Kg	3.73	138.86±	156.97±	156.97±	182.38±	225.21±
			5.75 5.35±	3.72	4.33	4.33	3.65	3.56
		F.C.R.	0.27**	5.30±	5.25±	$5.25 \pm$	5.38±	5.52±
0.05 * = Weig	** P < 0.	01 *** P < 0.		0.25*** W. = Initial boo	0.21**	0.21**	0.23**	0.26**

I.W. = Initial body weight F.C = feed consumption

F. W = Final body weight \*Compared with control group.

F.C.R= feed conversion rate (kg feed/kg body gain)

Table 3. Effect of the rapeutic dose of Biogen (Probiotic) (1Kg/ton feed) and Equigan (1ml/45 Kg or 1ml/90 Kg b.wt) on blood picture in Buffalo calves (Mean + S.E.) (n=5)

_	(11-3)						
Стания	Parameters	, ot	Valu	ues Post tre	atment(4 w		
Groups		$1^{\mathrm{st}}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>
R	BCs $x10^6/mm^3$	$7.15 \pm$	7.11±	716±	7.18±	7.10±	7.17±
	/ *****	0.20	0.39	0.17	0.33	0.40	0.28
10.	HB. gm %	10.45±	$10.59 \pm$	$10.33 \pm$	10.38±	$10.54 \pm$	$10.47 \pm$
Control	8	0.39	0.25	0.41	0.32	0.35	0.28
<u>ට</u>	PCV%	31.69±	31.58±	31.50±	31.59±	$31.74 \pm$	31.59±
		0.30	0.29	0.28	0.31	0.30	0.28
	WBCs x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	9.44±	$9.37 \pm$	$9.42 \pm$	$9.48 \pm$	$9.52 \pm$	$9.43 \pm$
		0.49 8.08±	0.28	0.44	0.58	0.45	0.35
	RBCs x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		8.81±	8.55±	$8.48 \pm$	87.18±	8.88±
		0.27*	0.46*	0.45*	0.42*	0.27*	0.49*
Probiotic	HB.gm %	11.55±	11.65±	$11.69 \pm$	11.25±	11.62±	11.67±
bio		0.10*	0.30*	0.27*	0.14*	0.20*	0.29*
Pro	PCV%	32.79±	32.87±	$32.71 \pm$	$32.78 \pm$	32.82±	32.95±
		0.27* 9.53±	0.34*	0.38*	0.28*	0.32*	0.37*
	WBCs x $10^{-3}$		9.62±	$9.78 \pm$	$9.83 \pm$	$9.94 \pm$	$9.94 \pm$
	DDC.	0.43	0.61	0.48	0.48	0.37	0.60
-	RBCs $\times 10^6/\text{mm}^3$	7.50±	7.61±	$7.53 \pm$	$7.39 \pm$	$7.40 \pm$	$7.40 \pm$
	XIU/mm	0.28	0.26	0.50	0.32	0.47	0.57
cylenate Half dose (1m1/90Kσ b wt)	HB gm %	11.18±	11.10±	11.16±	11.21±	$11.64 \pm$	$11.70 \pm$
te If d		0.31	0.29	0.28	0.39	0.33	0.50
undecylenate Half (1m1/90)	PCV%	32.14±	32.40±	32.08±	32.12±	31.98±	$31.87 \pm$
yle		0.38	0.49	0.40	0.35	0.40	0.37
dec	WBCs x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	11.08±	10.49±	10.98±	11.18±	$10.97 \pm$	$10.82 \pm$
nu		0.40*	0.34*	0.30*	0.22*	0.18*	0.25*
	RBCsx10 <sup>6</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	9.10±	9.09±	9.15±	$9.11 \pm$	$9.86 \pm$	$9.10 \pm$
non (tx		0.42**	0.37**	0.50**	0.57*	0.81*	0.39**
der Se by	HB gm %	12.09± 0.50*	11.90±	11.88±	12.08±	$12.20 \pm$	11.49±
Boldenone Full dose	0	33.07±	0.23**	0.37*	0.29**	0.28**	0.25*
ull 45	PCV%		32.99±	32.88±	33.12±	32.98±	32.90±
Boldenon Full dose (1ml/45Ko b wt)		0.48*	0.43*	0.30**	0.33**	0.40*	0.36*
- E	WBCs x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	11.68±	11.37±	11.51±	11.32±	11.41±	11 44.
	ANDCS X TO	0.38**	0.67*	0.40**	0.53*	0.52*	11.44±
*P < 0.0	5	* P = 0.01			0.55	0.32	0.71*

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05

<sup>\*\*</sup> P < 0.01

<sup>\*</sup>Compared with control group

Table 4. Effect of the apeutic dose of Biogen(Probiotic) (1Kg/ton feed) and Equigan (1ml/45 Kg or 1ml/90 Kg b.wt) on protein profile, in Buffalo calves serum. (Mean + S.E.) (n= 5)

Crous	Para	meter(g/dl)		Post treatment(month)							
Groups	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$3^{\rm rd}$	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>			
	T.	protein	7.02±	7.10±	7.26±	6.99±	7.09±	7.17±			
lo			0.26	0.32	0.30	0.22	0.29	0.34			
control	A	lbumin	3.58±	3.51±	$3.76 \pm$	3.56±	3.70±	3.77±			
3			0.23	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.27	0.18			
	Gl	lobulin	3.44±	3.49±	$3.50 \pm$	3.43±	3.39±	3.40±			
			0.21	0.25	0.15	0.21	0.20	0.19			
	T.1	protein	8.39±	8.57±	$8.60 \pm$	8.29±	8.58±	8.49±			
Probiotic	,		0.33*	0.22**	0.41*	0.19**	0.49*	0.37*			
bic	Al	bumin	3.55±	3.63±	4.08±	3.51±	3.95±	4.10±			
Pro			0.35	0.28	0.11	0.18	0.19	0.10			
	Globulin		4.12±	4.29±	4.21±	4.02±	4.36±	4.23±			
			0.18*	0.10*	0.21*	0.11*	0.27*	0.15**			
	se b.wt)	T.protein	8.03±	$7.97 \pm$	$7.73 \pm$	$7.62 \pm$	7.67±	7.40±			
ate	se g b	1	0.47	0.39	0.28	0.44	0.38	0.51			
undecylenate	Half dose (1ml/90Kg b	Albumin	3.71±	$3.45 \pm$	$3.74 \pm$	3.69±	3.65±	3.77±			
cyl	falf /90		0.41	0.58	0.43	0.41	0.35	0.38			
lde	H H	Globulin	3.83±	3.91±	$3.78 \pm$	3.93±	3.78±	3.52±			
III			0.53	0.37	0.38	0.53	0.49	0.27			
Boldenone	Full dose 5Kg b.wt)	T.protein	9.03±	$9.19 \pm$	$9.38 \pm$	9.26±	9.29±	9.03±			
эло	l de	1	0.36**	0.41**	0.45 **	0.48**	0.68*	0.60*			
olde	Ful	Albumin	3.80±	$3.77 \pm$	$3.90 \pm$	$3.60 \pm$	3.74±	4.15±			
Вс	Full (1ml/45Kg		0.39	0.33	0.36	0.41	0.31	0.40			
	Ш	Globulin	4.20±	4.56±	4.43±	4.57±	4.40±	4.45±			
* P < 0.0	-		0.20*	0.27*	0.33*	0.41*	0.35*	0.38*			
1 < 0.0.	3		** P < 0	.01			with control				

Table 5. Effect of therapeutic dose of Biogen (Probiotic) (1Kg/ton feed) and Equigan (1ml/45 Kg or 1ml/90Kg b.wt) on AST, ALT, ALP and glucose in serum of Buffalo calves. (Mean+ S.E.)(n= 5)

		Parameters		Post	treatmen	t(month	1)	
Groups			1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>
	<del></del>	ACT II/I	29.06±	28.92±	28.83±	29.05±	29.08±	28.59±
		AST U/L	0.42	0.37	0.45	0.38	0.48	0.53
_		ALT U/L	14.29±	$14.37 \pm$	14.28±	14.32±	14.44±	14.25±
tro		ALI U/L	0.46	0.37	0.54	0.41	0.56	0.36
control		ALP U/L	16.63±	16.66±	$16.74 \pm$	$16.70 \pm$	16.66±	$16.75 \pm$
9		ALI U/L	1.31	1.05	1.27	1.07	1.26	1.19
	(	Glucose mg/dl	71.04±	71.78±	$70.89 \pm$	71.16±	69.95±	71.10±
	,	Jideose mg/di	1.98	1.97	2.00	2.30	2.01	2.09
		AST U/L	29.24±	28.99±	$29.70 \pm$	29.23±	29.31±	28.81±
		ASI U/L	0.65	0.48	0.55	0.68	0.58	0.63
ic		ALT U/L	14.09±	14.18±	14.21±	14.13±	14.15±	$14.07 \pm$
iot		ALI O/L	0.47	0.40	0.27	0.37	0.49	0.25
Probiotic		ALP U/L	16.93±	16.85±	16.88±	$16.90 \pm$	$16.87 \pm$	16.89±
Д		ALI O/L	0.59	0.72	0.69	0.57	0.41	0.69
		Glucose mg/dl	64.18±	65.11±	63.20±	64.22±	63.83±	64.07±
		Gracose mg/ar	1.88*	1.90*	1.89*	1.93*	1.99*	1.96*
		AST U/L	29.85±	29.90±	29.78±	30.12±	29.94±	$30.18 \pm$
	wt	TIST O/L	0.55	0.46	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.51
	Half dose (1ml/90Kg b.wt)	ALT U/L	15.28±	15.21±	$15.17 \pm$	14.94±	15.35±	$15.40 \pm$
te	d gX	1121 0/1	0.41	0.71	0.61	0.48	0.55	0.47
па	alf 90	ALP U/L	18.65±	$18.80 \pm$	18.67±	$18.74 \pm$	18.83±	$18.55 \pm$
Boldenone undecylenate	H I		1.30	1.32	1.43	1.49	1.33	1.36
qec	1)	Glucose mg/dl	72.08±	71.92±	71.87±	71.66±	71.05±	71.58±
ďn		g a.	1.82	1.63	1.70	1.59	1.80	1.73
ne		AST U/L	30.76±	30.82±	$30.74 \pm$	30.92±	30.98±	31.14±
OUG	wt		0.45*	0.58*	0.50*	0.42**	0.41*	0.50**
olde	ose g b	ALT U/L	16.41±	16.35±	15.96±	15.93±	16.29±	16.32±
Bo	l de	-,	0.55*	0.42**	0.46*	0.52*	0.37*	0.55*
	Full dose 1/45Kg b.	ALP U/L	20.85±	20.88±	20.98±	20.95±		21.05±
	Full dose (1ml/45Kg b.wt)		1.32*	1.11*	1.12*	1.10*	1.17*	1.13*
		Glucose mg/dl	76.95±	76.91±	76.98±	77.10±		77.52±
*P<00	\C ++		1.08*	1.13*	1.15*	1.11*	1.96*	1.02*

<sup>\*</sup>Compared with control group.

Table 6. Effect of therapeutic dose of Biogen (Probiotic)(1Kg/ton feed) and Equigan (1ml/45 Kg or 1ml/90Kg b.wt) on urea, creatinine, calcium and phosphorus in Buffalo Calves serum (Mean + S.E.)(n= 5)

			es serum (N						
Groups		Taran	neter (mg/dl)				ment(mon	ith)	
				1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$3^{\rm rd}$	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>
		Ure	а	22.16±	22.43±	22.37±	22.61±	22.55±	22.49±
				0.37	0.29	0.40	0.38	0.61	0.48
rol		Creatin	nine	1.98±	$1.84 \pm$	$1.88 \pm$	$1.79 \pm$	1.75±	1.68±
control				0.10	0.11	0.12	0.19	0.21	0.10
$\ddot{\circ}$		Ca		11.10±	$11.14 \pm$	$11.09 \pm$	11.19±	11.18±	11.21±
				0.30	0.23	0.18	0.26	0.20	0.25
		Ph		5.33±	$5.29 \pm$	$5.35 \pm$	$5.40 \pm$	5.23±	5.20±
				0.41	0.34	0.29	0.44	0.51	0.33
		Urea	ı	22.48±	22.60±	22.59±	$22.77 \pm$	22.82±	22.64±
0				0.41	0.73	0.63	0.67	0.58	0.55
Probiotic		Creatin	ine	1.91±	1.81±	$1.83 \pm$	1.74±	1.72±	1.63±
obi				0.27	0.14	0.18	0.16	0.26	0.22
Pro		Ca		14.03±	13.12±	14.10±	14.21±	13.98±	14.08±
				0.74**	0.51**	0.69**	0.71**	0.83**	0.80**
*		Ph		7.07.±	$7.12 \pm$	$7.09 \pm$	$7.19 \pm$	$7.15 \pm$	7.17±
				0.63*	0.70*	0.55*	0.64*	0.48*	0.60*
	<del>(</del>	5	Urea	22.14±	22.38±	22.19±	22.49±	22.37±	22.28±
	).w		eatinine	0.42	0.42	0.48	0.39	0.31	0.38
4.5	dos 1 g.	Cr		2.06±	$1.97 \pm$	$1.99 \pm$	$1.86 \pm$	1.90±	1.75±
iate	Half dose (1ml/90Kg b.wt)			0.24	0.20	0.18	0.26	0.28	0.19
len	Ha 11/9		Ca	11.82±	11.94±	11.90±	11.98±	11.87±	11.88±
ecy	(In			0.95	0.86	0.69	0.83	0.58	0.63
pu	0		Ph	5.53±	5.94±	$5.87 \pm$	$5.96 \pm$	$5.75 \pm$	5.87±
e n				0.68	0.83	0.59	0.88	0.68	0.74
Boldenone undecylenate	1		Urea	22.08±	22.22±	22.28±	22.50±	22.43±	22.37±
der	e 5.W			0.27	0.18	0.37	0.40	0.60	0.58
30Io	los (g. l	Cre	eatinine	2.39±	2.27±	$2.29 \pm$	$2.34 \pm$	$2.32 \pm$	2.28±
<del></del>	III ( 15 k			0.11*	0.14*	0.10*	0.13*	0.12*	0.14**
	Full dose (1ml/45Kg b.wt)		Ca		13.50±	13.32±	$13.07 \pm$	13.19±	13.31±
	(In			0.67*	0.92*	0.77*	0.65*	0.71*	0.67*
			1 11	6.99± 0.50*	7.05±	6.95±	$7.28 \pm$	$7.55 \pm$	7.59±
* P <	0.05			P < 0.01	0.48*	0.53*	0.68*	0.61*	0.83*
				1 < 0.01		*(	Compared w	rith control g	group.

### REFERENCES

- 1.Shalash M (1984): Biological and economic status of Egyptian Buffalos. Egypt. Vet. Sc. 21(2)1–37.
- 2.Timmerman H, Mulder C and Beynen F (2004): Monostrain, multistrain and multispecies probiotics: A comparison of functionality and efficacy. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 96: 219-233.
- 3.Harish K and Varghese T (2006): Probiotics in humansevidence based review. Calicut Med., J4 (4): 124-129.
- **4.Tannock** *G* **(2002):** Probiotics and prebiotics. Where are we going (Norfolk, UK: Caister Acad Press).
- 5.Dumasia M, Houghton, Bradley E and Williams D (1983): Studies related to the metabolism of anabolic steroids in the horse: the metabolism of 1-dehydrotestosterone and the use of fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry in the identification of steroid conjugates. Biomed. Mass Spectom. 10(7):434-440.
- 6.De Brabander H Stephany S and De Wasch K (2004): Presence and metabolism of the anabolic steroid boldenone in various animal species: a review. Food Addit. Contam., 21(6):515-525.
- 7.Doumas B and Biggs H (1972):
  Determination of Serum Globulins .In
  Standard Methods of Clinical Chemistry
  Vol.7.Med.ByCooper,G.R.,New York,
  Academic Press..175.
- 8. Drupt F (1974): Colorimetric determination of serum albumin.
- 9.Reitman S and Frankel S (1957): Colorimetric determination of Transaminases activity. An. J. Clin. Path, 28: 56.
- 10.Kind P and King E (1954): Colorimetric determination of alkaline phosphatase activity J. Clin .Path. 7: 322.
- 11.Fawcett J (1960): Determination of urea in serum .J.Clin.Pathol.13,156-159.

- 12.Husdan H and Rapoport A (1968): Estimation of creatinine Clin . Chel. 14: 222 238.
- 13.Tamhane A and Dunlop D (2000):
  Statistics and Data Analysis from
  Elementary to Intermediate. Upper Saddle
  River, USA.
- 14.Khan 1, Lee W, Lee H, Kim S, Kim K and Choi Y (2007): Starch Source Evaluation in Calf Starter: I. Feed Consumption, Body Weight Gain, Structural Growth, and Blood Metabolites in Holstein Calves. J. Dairy Sci. 90:5259–5268.
- 15.Oetzel G, Emery K, Kautz W and Nocek J (2007): Direct-fed microbial supplementation and health and performance of pre– and postpartum dairy cattle: A field trial. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 2058–2068.
- 16.Camilo A, Sara C, Carlos A, Ospina F, Carvajal E and Fernando R (2009): Effect of a Probiotic Compound in Rumen Development, Diarrhea Incidence and Weight Gain in Young Holstein Calves. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 57(2)135-144
- 17. Alam T, Abdou I, Eman S and Hussien E (2010): Effect of Probiotic on the Growth Performance and Some Biochemical Parameters in Growing Lambs. 10<sup>th</sup> Sci.Vet. Med. Zag. Conference 87-98
- 18.Cyberhorse R (1999): International Animal Health Products. The Australian Company ACN 003 185 699.
- 19.Sissons J (1989): Potential of probiotic organisms to prevent diarrhea and promote digestion in farm animals, a review. J. Sci. Food Agric. 49:1.
- 20.Sone K, Hinago M, Itamoto M and IguchiT (2005): Effects of an androgenic growth promoter 17beta-trenbolone on masculinization of Mosquito fish (Gambusia affinis affinis). Gen Comp Endocrinol. 143(2):151-60.

- 21.El-Sayed M and Kerdasy A (2007): the effects of the anabolic steroid (boldenone undecylenate) in castrated males, non castrated males and non pregnant females of goats. Zag. Vet, J.35(1) 172-182
- 22.Gabr F, Hassan T, Abo El-Maaty Amal M and Aotifa A (2009): Effects of growth promoter Boldenone undecylenate on weaned male lambs Nature and Science,,7(3) 1545-0740
- 23.Kahl S, Lough D, Rumsey T and Solomon M (1992): Effect of boldenone undecylenate on body weight in growing ram and ewe lambs. J. Anim. Sci. 70(Suppl. 1):207.
- 24.Melloni R, Connor D, Hang P, Harrison R and Ferris C (1997): Anabolicandrogenic steroid exposure during adolescence and aggressive behavior in golden hamsters. Physiol. Behav., 61(3):359-364.
- 25. Abd El-Khalek R, Mehrez A and Omar E (2000): Effect of yeast culture (Lacto-Sacc) on rumen activity, blood constituents and growth of suckling Friesian calves. Proc. Conf. Anim. Prod. The 21th Century, Sakha: 201-210.
- 26.Elmaghraby M, El-Sheikh A, Meneh I, Gabr M and Fathalla M (2008): Effect of Genotype and Bacillus subtilis-Based Probiotic Supplement (Biogen®) on Performance of Fattening Lambs. 9<sup>th</sup> Vet. Med. Zag. Conference. 263-275.
- 27.Clark A, Mitre C and Brinck T (1995): Anabolic-androgenic steroid and adrenal steroid effects on hippocampal plasticity. Brain Res., 679(1): 64-71.
- 28.Maike S, Nomoto K, Yokokura T and Nomoto K (1985): Protective effect of L. casei Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in mice. Inf. & Immu.48 (2): 480-485.
- 29.Zhou J, Shu Q, Rutherfurd K, Prasad J and Gill H (2000): Safety assessment of potential probiotic lactic acid bacterial strains Lactobacillus rhamnosus HNO01, Lb. acidophilus HNO17 and

- Bifidobacterium lactis HNO19 in Balb/c mice. Int. J. Food Microbiol, 56 (1):87-96.
- 30.El-Shamaa I S (2002): Onset of puberty, semen production and blood constituents in crossbred male lambs as affected by dietary yeast culture addition. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(7): 4589–4598.
- 31.Kuhn C (2002): Anabolic steroids. Recent Prog Horm Res. ,57:411-34.
- 32. Squires E, Berndtson W and Pickett B (1982): Effect of anabolic steroids on reproductive function of young stallions. Am. J. Vet. Res., 54(3):576-582.
- 33. La Perle K, Piercy R, Long J and Blomme E (1998): Multisystemic, eosinophilic, epitheliotropic disease with intestinal lymphosarcoma in a horse. Vet. Pathol. ,35 (2):144-146.
- 34.El-Sheikh A and El Gamal M (1996): Studies on alopecia with unthrifty and probiotic effects in growing buffalo calves. Vet. Med. J. Giza, 44, (2-A):311-319.
- 35. Hayam Samy M and El-Sheikh A (2005): Effect of Probiotic and Biomix supplement to the diet of lamb on their growth performance and ruminal juice contents during the green and dry seasons. Zag. Vet. Med. J., 33 (1): 217 -224.
- 36.Shehata F, El-Sawi M, Matwally H and Laila G Rizk (2008): Some Biochemical and Immunological Changes in Holestein Cattle Administrated Some Probiotic Microorganisms .Zag. Vet. J. 36 (4)77-85.
- 37.Asma O (1997): haematobiochemical studies on buffalo-calves suffering from untherftness with trial of treatment. Benha Vet. Med. J., (1): 92.
- 38.Ribeiro H and Vanderhof J (1998):
  Reduction of diarrhea following administration of Lactobacillus in a daycare facility. J. Pediat. Gast. Nut., 26:561.
- 39.Alm Eldeen A (2011): Deterioration of glomerular endothelial surface layer and the alteration in the renal function after a

- growth promoter boldenone injection in rabbits. Hum Exp Toxicol, 30 (2) 23-28.
- 40.Finco D, Barsanti A and Adams D (1984): Effects of an anabolic steroid on acute uremia in the dog. Am. J. Vet Res.45 (11):2285-2288.
- 41.Groot M and Biolatti B (2004): Histopathological effects of boldenone in cattle. J. Vet. Med. A. Physiol. Pathol. Clin. Med., 51(2):58-63.
- 42.Urhausen A, Torsten A and Wilfried K (2003): Reversibility of the effects on blood cells, lipids, liver function and hormones in former anabolic-androgenic steroid abusers in lamb. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 84(2-3):369-75.
- 43.Van Miert A, Peters R, Basudde C and Korstanje C (1988): Effect of trenbolone and testosterone on the plasma elimination rates of sulfamethazine, trimethoprim, and antipyrine in female dwarf goats. Am J Vet Res. 49(12):2060-4.
- 44.Christiansen J, Gravholt C, Fisker S and Jorgensen J (2005): Very short term boldenone undecylenate treatment female adrenal failure: impact on carbohydrate, lipid and protein metabolism. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 152 (1):77-85.
- 45. Antunovi D, Liker B and Peranda T (2005): Influence of feeding the probiotic pionefer to growing lambs on performance and blood composition. Acta Veter. (Becgrad), 55 (4)87-100.
- 46.Hani A, Grant B, Paul F and Tucker G (2008): Probiotic treatment reduces blood

- glucose levels and increases systemic absorption of gliclazide in rats. European J. of Drug Metab. and Pharmacs 33,(2)101-106.
- 47. Huntington G and Eisemann J (1988): Regulation of nutrient supply by gut und liver tissues, J. Anim. Sci., 66, (suppl. 3): 35-48.
- 48.Macklear B and Guest G (1953): Blood glycolysis: mechanisms inhibited by glycolysis, Am. J. Physiol, 174: 269-72.
- 49.Genuth S (1998): Endocrine system. In: Berne RM, Levy MN, editors, Physiology, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., The C.V. Mosby Company, 919-1083.
- 50.Morris D and Garcia M (1985): Effects of phenylbutazone and anabolic steroids on adrenal and thyroid gland function tests in healthy horses. Am. J. Vet. Res.46(2):359-364.
- 51. El-Moghazy M, Tousson S and Sakeran I (2012): Changes in the hepatic and renal structure and function after a growth promoter boldenone injection in rabbits J. of Animal Biology, 62 (2)171-180
- 52.Liong M (2007): Probiotics: Acritical review of their potential role as antihypertensives, immune modulators, and hypocholesterolemics and perimenopausal treatments. Nut. Rev., 65: 316-328.
- 53.Mooradian A, Morley J and Korenma S (1987): Biological actions of androgens. Endocr.Rev. 8: 1–28.

## الملخص العربي

كفاءة البولدينون انديسيلينات والبروبيوتك كمحفزات نموعلى ذكور عجول الجاموس

حسنى عبد الفضيل\* ، سوسن الشيخ\*، السيد السيد امام حسن\*\*، مرسى مطر\*\*\*

كليه الطب البيطري بالزقازيق(قسم الفارماكولوجيا)\*
معهد بحوث صحه الحيوان بالزقازيق (قسم الفارماكولوجيا)\*\*
مدير يه الطب البيطري بالشرقية\*\*\*

يعتبر البر وبيوتك والبلدينون انديسيلينات من محفزات النمو التي يستخدمها مربى ماشيه التسمين لذلك استهدفت هذه الدراسة استبيان المزيد من المعلومات عن كفاءة المركبين في زيادة وزن حيوانات التسمين ومعرفه الآثار الجانبيه لهم. تم أجراء هذه الدراسة على عدد ٢٠ من ذكور الجاموس عمر ٢-8 أشهر اوزانها تتراوح بين ٢٠٤- ١٧٠ كيلو جرام بإحدى مزارع تسمين المواشي بمركز منيا القمح بمحافظة الشرقية تم تقسيمهم إلى ٢٠ مجموعات, كل مجموعة منها تحتوى على عدد عجل الأولى منها بصحة جيدة غير معالجة مجموعة خصابطة, الثانية حيوانات بصحة جيدة وتم عطائها البر وبيوتك (بيوجين) اكجم/طن على المجموعة الثالثة حيوانات بصحة جيدة وتم حقنها في العضل نصف الجرعة العلاجيه من طن على المجموعة الرابعة حيوانات بصحة جيدة وتم حقنها في البلدينون انديسيلينات اسم/ ٩ كجم من وزن الحيوان, المجموعة الرابعة حيوانات بصحة جيدة وتم حقنها في العضل بالجرعه العلاجيه اسم/ ٩ كجم من وزن الحيوان من البلدينون انديسيلينات تم حقن البولدينون كل العضل بالجرعه العلاجيه اسم/ ٩ كجم من وزن الحيوان من البلدينون انديسيلينات تم حقن البولدينون كل العضل بالجرعه العدة (١٤٠ يوم). تم أخذ عينتين دم من كل حيوان في كل المجموعات عند الشهر الأول, الثاني, الثالث, الرابع, الخامس والسادس. الأولى على هيبارين وذلك لدراسة التأثيرات على صورة الدم والأخرى بدون مانع للتجلط لفصل المصل وذلك لقياس بعض مكونات الدم.

يتبين لنا من تلك الدراسة أن البروبيوتك والبلدينون انديسيلينات أحدثا زيادة معنوية في وزن الجسم يتبين لنا من تلك الدراسة أن البروبيوتك والبلدينون انديسيلينات أحدثا زيادة معنوية في وزن الجسم المكتسب, عدد كرات الدم الحمراء, تركيز الهيموجلوبين, حجم الخلايا المضغوطة والعدد الكلى لكرات الدم المكتسب, عدد كرات الدم الحمراء, الجلوبيولين, الكالسيوم والفوسفور دون اى تاثير على الزلال ونقص في معدل البيضاء, البروتين الكلى, الجلوبيولين, الكالسيوم والفوسفور دون اى تاثير على الزلال ونقص النادمات الكدد التحويل الغذائي .