



#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

### Antimicrobial Resistance, Virulence Associated Genes and Biofilm Formation of Salmonella Species Isolated from Different Sources

Mohamed E. M. Mohamed, Rehab E. Mohamed, Rasha M. Gharieb, Magda A. Amin and Heba A. Ahmed\*

Zoonoses Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, 44511, Zagazig, Egypt

Article History: Received: 21/06/2021 Received in revised form: 29/06/2021 Accepted: 30/06/2021

#### Abstract

Infections with *Salmonella* species are among the major foodborne outbreaks of concern globally. This study aimed to characterize virulence determinants, antibiotic susceptibilities and biofilm formation ability of 29 *Salmonella* spp. isolated from chicken and human sources in Egypt. Molecular identification of virulence-associated genes revealed the detection of *avr*A gene in 100% of the examined isolates. The *sop*B, *stn*, *hil*A and *bcf*C genes were identified in 91.3% of the isolates, while, 86.2% and 31.03% harbored *mgt*C gene and *spv*C genes, respectively. The *pef*A and *fim*H genes were only identified in three isolates (10.3%). Fifteen antimicrobials were chosen to assess the susceptibility of the isolates to these drugs. The majority (31.03%) was resistant to 10 antibiotics; meanwhile, 89.6% were resistant to at least 5 antimicrobials. Out of 29 *Salmonella* isolates, 89.76%, 82.8% and 37.9% were biofilm producers at 35°C, 25°C, and 4°C, respectively. Significant correlation was observed between different *Salmonella* serotypes and their ability to produce biofilms. In conclusion, frequent monitoring of virulence determinants, antibiotic susceptibility and biofilm characteristics of *Salmonella* spp. is essential to improve food safety.

Keywords: Salmonella species, Virulotyping, Antibiotic Susceptibility, Biofilm Formation.

#### Introduction

Salmonella foodborne infection is of great impact in humans and animals worldwide [1]. Infection with Salmonella species has an estimated 1.3 billion incidences of nontyphoidal salmonellosis worldwide annually [2]. More than 2610 Salmonella serovars were recognized and almost all are able to cause illness in animals and humans [3]. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis are the most frequently serovars from human cases worldwide, with an overall proportion of 17.1 and 43.5%, respectively [4].

Salmonella spp. inhabit and colonize the intestinal tract of various animals including chickens, thus, they are considered the primary source of infection through consumption of contaminated meat, products and giblets

[5]. Studies estimated that 40% of the human clinical cases are attributed to the consumption of egg and poultry products [6, 7].

The pathogenicity of Salmonella spp. depends mainly on acquisition of virulence factors controlled by chromosomal or plasmid borne determinants. Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 (SPI1) is a region in the chromosomes that encodes for type III secretion system (TTSS) [8, 9]. The TTSS is essential for Salmonella virulence and regulated by hilA gene. Bacterial adherence to the intestinal epithelial cells is controlled plasmid encoded fimbria by locus associated gene (pef), while, cell invasion is encoded by the fimbrial *bcf*C gene [10, 11]. invasion Moreover, bacterial by deformation of membranes and host cell's cytoskeleton rearrangement is mediated by genes regulating Salmonella outer proteins (*sop*) [12]. Cell apoptosis induction has a role in limiting the host's inflammatory response and this is mediated by the *avr*A gene [13]. Meanwhile, intracellular survival of *Salmonellae* is regulated by the *mgt*C genes and acute gastroenteritis is associated with enterotoxin production which is mediated by *stn* gene [14, 15]. The operon spv(RABCD) is located on plasmids and contains five genes, of which, *spv*C gene is essential for the survival of *Salmonellae* [16].

Antibiotics are used in poultry sector for promotion, prophylaxis growth or therapeutic purposes. However, their indiscriminate usage resulted in the emergence of multiple drug resistance strains causing public health risk to consumers [17]. Resistant Salmonella spp. can be transmitted to humans through the food chain causing severe sequalae compared with infections caused by antimicrobial drug-susceptible species [18, 19].

Biofilms are exopolymer matrix of bacteria in different surfaces which allow the organisms to survive and persist in the environment and in the infected host [20]. The centers for diseases control and prevention (CDC) estimated that nearly 65% of all reported infections are caused by bacterial biofilms [21]. In environmental settings, *Salmonella* spp. is able to form biofilms on different biotic and abiotic surfaces that enable them to survive antibiotics and biocides, thus, enabling their transmission to other hosts [22, 23].

The study aimed to determine the antimicrobial resistance, virulence profiles and biofilm formation ability of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from chicken and human sources.

### Material and methods

## Salmonella isolates

Twenty-nine *Salmonella* isolates were recovered and confirmed by PCR and serotyping from chicken meat samples (n=9), giblets (n=4), egg shell (n=3), cloacal swabs (n=8) and surface swabs from wooden cutting boards (n=2) at poultry pluck shop outlets in Sharkia Governorate, Egypt. In addition, two isolates from hand swabs of poultry workers at the outlets and one isolate from human stool samples at Outpatient Clinic were obtained [24]. The identified *Salmonella* serovars were *S*. Typhimurium (n=8), *S*. Enteritidis (n=7), *S*. Newport (n=6), *S*. Kentucky (n=7), and *S*. Infantis (n=3).

## Molecular identification of virulence associated genes

The investigated virulence associated genes were *avr*A, *mgt*C, *sop*B, *stn*, *pef*A, *spv*C, *fim*H, *hil*A, and *bcf*C. The sequences of the primers and the sizes of the amplified products are listed in Supplementary Table (1). A positive control DNA from *S*. Typhimurium LT2 was used.

#### Antimicrobial resistance pattern of S. Typhimurium isolates

susceptibility Antimicrobial of Salmonella spp. was determined by the Kirby-Bauer method according to Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). The used antibiotics were gentamicin (CN, 10 µg), streptomycin (S, 10 µg), amikacin (AK, 30 µg), kanamycin (K, 30 µg), nalidixic acid (NAL, 30 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg), norfloxacin (NX, 10 µg), cefotaxime (CTX, 30 µg), ceftriaxone (CRO, 30 µg), chloramphenicol (CHL, 30 µg), Sulphamethazole/ Trimethoprim (SXT,  $25 \mu g$ ), erythromycin (E,  $10 \mu g$ ), tetracyclin (TE, 30 µg), colistin (CT, 10 µg) and ampicillin (AMP, 20 μg). Multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was determined as the ratio of the number of the antibiotics to which Salmonella isolates displayed resistance to the number of drugs which Salmonella isolates to were examined [25]. Multidrug resistance (MDR) was defined as resistance of an isolate to at least one agent in three or more antibiotic classes [26]. E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as the quality control organism.

## Biofilm formation ability

The ability of biofilm formation by Salmonella isolates was evaluated at 4°C, 25°C and 35°C using microplates of 96 wells as previously described [27]. The Optical Density (OD) of the stained adherent bacteria was determined with an ELISA reader (model: sunrise R4, serial no: 610000079) at wavelength of 620 nm (OD620 nm) after the adjustment to zero of the negative control. The experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times, the data were then represented as mean and the standard deviation was calculated. The data obtained were used to classify the strains as non, weak, moderate and strong biofilm producers according to following equations: Non-biofilm the producer =  $OD \leq ODc$ , Weak biofilm producer = ODc <OD  $\leq 2 \times$ ODc, Moderate biofilm producer =  $2 \times ODc \ll OD \leq 4 \times ODc$ , Strong biofilm producer, 4×ODc <OD [28].

The production of biofilm is regulated by the gcpA, csgD and adrA genes. Identification of the three genes in the examined isolates was carried out. The sequences of the primers are illustrated in Supplementary Table (1).

The *csg*D gene was sequenced from two Salmonella spp. isolates classified phenotypically as non-producer and strong biofilm producer. The amplicon was extracted from the gel by QIAquick Gel Extraction Kits (Qiagen, S. A. Courtaboeuf, France) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The purified products were sequenced with Big dye Terminator V3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kits (Perkin-Elmer, Foster city, CA) as described by the manufacturer. Sequence analysis was done by DNASTAR software (Lasergene version 7.2; DNASTAR, Madison, WI) and the two sequences were submitted to the GenBank which provided the two accession numbers of M+G242330 and MG242331.

### Statistical analysis

Differences in biofilm formation degree at the three temperatures were examined by One-Way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and LSD (Least Significant Difference). While, the differences in biofilm formation between the serotypes at the three temperatures were analyzed by Two-Way ANOVA. The test results were calculated by SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp. 2013, Armonk, NY). Data were presented as mean  $\pm$  SD and significance was considered at P < 0.05.

## Results

# Molecular identification of virulence associated genes

The molecular identification of virulence associated genes revealed the detection of *avr*A in all the examined isolates, while, *bcf*C, *sop*B, *stn* and *hil*A were identified in 93.1% of the isolates, each. The *mgt*C, *spv*C, *pef*A and *fim*H were detected in 82.8%, 31.03%, 10.3% and 10.3% of salmonella isolates, each.

### Antimicrobial resistance

Fifteen antimicrobials were chosen during the current study to assess the susceptibility of the isolated *Salmonella* spp. strains (n=29) to these drugs (Table 1). All the examined isolates showed resistance against erythromycin, while, 96.5% were resistant to cefotaxime, nalidixic acid and colistin, each.

All the examined *Salmonella* spp. isolates were multidrug resistant (MDR) showing resistance to at least three drugs, the (31.03%) were resistant to 10 antibiotics, meanwhile, 89.6% of the isolates were resistant to at least 5 antimicrobials. The average MAR index was 0.53, 69% of the isolates had MAR index above the average.

Antimizenhials (abbrariation)	Salmonella isolates (no = 29)			
Anumicrodiais (abbreviation)	*R	*I	*S	
Gentamicin (CN)	19 (65.5%)	-	10 (34.5%)	
Streptomycin (S)	24 (82.7%)	3 (10.3%)	2 (7%)	
Amikacin (AK)	-	3 (10.3%)	26 (89.7%)	
Tetracyclines (TE)	26(89.7%)	-	3(10.3%)	
Kanamycin (K)	21(72.5%)	8(27.5%)	-	
Nalidixic acid (NA)	28 (96.5%)	-	1 (3.5%)	
Ciprofloxacin (CIP)	7 (24%)	16 (55.3%)	6(20.7%)	
Norfloxacin (NOR)	7 (24%)	1 (3.5%)	21 (72.5%)	
Cefotaxime (CTX)	28 (96.5%)	1 (3.5%)	-	
Colistin (CT)	28(96.5%)	1 (3.5%)	-	
Sulphamethazole/ Trimethoprim (SXT)	23 (79.3%)	-	6 (20.7%)	
Erythromycin (E)	29 (100%)	-	-	
Ampicillin (AMP)	16 (55.2%)	-	13 (44.8%)	
Chloramphenicol (CHL)	22 (75.7%)	-	7 (24.3%)	
Ceftriaxone (CRO)	2 (7%)	5 (17.3%)	22 (75.7%)	

 Table 1: Antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella isolates to different antibiotics

\*R: resistant, I: intermediate, S: sensitive

#### **Biofilm formation**

Out of 29 *Salmonella* isolates, 26 (89.76%) were biofilm producers, where, 9 (31.03%), 11 (37.9%) and 6 (20.7%) were classified as weak, moderate and strong biofilm producers, respectively, at 35°C (Table 2). At 25°C, 24 (82.8%) were biofilm producers, of which, 7 (24.1%), 11 (37.9%) and 6 (20.7%) were weak, moderate and strong producers, respectively. However, at 4°C, only 11 (37.9%) produced biofilm, where, 4 (13.8%) and 7 (24.1%) were weak

and moderate biofilm producers, respectively. Overall, there was а significant effect of temperature on the ability of Salmonella isolates to produce biofilm ( $p \le 0.001$ ). A significant correlation was observed between different Salmonella serotypes and their ability to produce biofilms ( $p \le 0.001$ ) (Figure 1). The results in the current study showed the distribution of adrA and csgD in 100% of the isolates, while gcpA gene was identified in 28 (96.6%) of the isolates.

Table 2: Biofilm	l formation	in Salm	onella species	at 4°C	, 25°C and	35°C
------------------	-------------	---------	----------------	--------	------------	------

Temperature	Non-producer	Degree of biofi	Overall biofilm		
		Weak	Moderate	Strong	producers
4°C	18 (62.1, 0.0684±0.046)	4 (13.8, 0.1560±0.048)	7 (24.1, 0.3657±0.049)	-	11 (37.9%)
25°C	5 (17.3, 0.059±0.029)	7 (24.1, 0.1630±0.034)	11 (37.9, 0.3376±0.067)	6 (20.7, 0.7883±0.052)	24 (82.8%)
35°C	3 (10.3, 0.0294±0.021)	9 (31.03, 0.1647±0.039)	11 (37.9, 0.3494±0.068)	6 (20.7, 0.7923±0.058)	26 (89.7%)

OD: Optical Density

SD: Standard Deviation



Figure 1: Boxplot showing the median optical density of biofilm formation by different *Salmonella* serovars at different temperatures (1: 4°C, 2: 25°C and 35°C), E: S. Enteritidis, T: S. Typhimurium, K: S. Kentucky, N: S. Newport I: S. Infantis.

#### Discussion

Salmonella spp. is the most notified foodborne pathogen with 70% of infections related to poultry as estimated by Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed annual report [29]. Chicken meat and products were associated with increased incidence of salmonellosis in many developing countries including India, Egypt, Brazil and Zimbabwe [30].

Virulotyping is an approach to understand how various virulence genes reflect bacterial properties [31]. Twentynine *Salmonella* isolates representing five different serovars (*S.* Typhimurium, *S.* Enteritidis, *S.* newport, *S.* Kentucky and *S.* infantis) were subjected to PCR for the detection of some encoded virulence determinants.

The detection of *avr*A gene in all the investigated isolates was in agreement with other studies [11, 32]. The high frequency of this gene is only observed in serovars that have a potential to cause severe salmonellosis in humans [11]. Lower

frequencies of 80% [33] and 50% [34] were also documented. This variation could be attributed to recombination which frequently occurs in the location of this gene [35].

The detection of *stn* gene in 91.3% of *Salmonella* isolates was comparable with other studies in Egypt [32, 36]. This gene was previously reported to be widely distributed among different *Salmonella* serovars [15]. However, lower rates were reported in the same study area; 11.1% [37] and 58.8% [38].

Consistent with our results, the *hil*A gene was previously identified in 100 and 88.2% of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from chicken samples in Brazil [11] and Egypt [38], respectively. While the gene was identified in 8.3% [37] and 8.6% [39] in other studies.

Salmonella spp. outer proteins (Sops) deform the membranes and rearrange the cytoskeleton of host cells to facilitate the invasion of this organism [12, 40]. Nearly Similar results were reported in USA [41,

42] and Egypt [32]. Comparable detection rates of the *spv*C gene in *Salmonella* isolates were reported in Egypt [36, 38]. Meanwhile, higher percentages were recorded in different studies worldwide [11, 43, 44].

The *bcf*C gene is widely distributed among isolates from animals, humans and environment, but with some diversity [4] which could be explained by serovar specificity of virulence plasmids [45]. The *mgtC* gene encodes membrane а affects host-pathogen protein that interactions, either by slowing the apoptotic process or by protecting the bacterium from host cell defenses [46]. The *bcf*C and *mgt*C genes were also reported in isolates from chicken and human sources in Egypt [32] and Europe [31].

Fimbriae play an important role in the pathogenicity of *Salmonella* spp. because they promote their attachment to epithelial cells. The *pefA* gene was reported to be serovar specific in only *S*. Typhimurium and *S*. Enteritidis [47, 48]. This gene was identified in only three *S*. Typhimurium isolates (10.3%) in our study. The obtained low frequency of *pefA* gene was comparable with other findings [17, 32].

The considerable differences in virulence determinants of *Salmonella* serovars are attributed to the variation in sample sources, types of serovars and presence or absence of plasmids carrying these genes [49].

The development of antibiotic resistant bacteria is resulted from the uncontrolled use of antimicrobials in food animals and transmission of resistance to human isolates through the food chain [50]. Ampicillin, chloramphenicol trimethoprimand sulfamethoxazole were the drugs of choice for Salmonella spp. infection treatment for decades [51]. However, due to the increased resistance to these drugs, fluoroquinolones extended (in adults) and spectrum cephalosporins (in children) have become common for treatment [52, 53]. In some cases of resistance to both fluoroquinolones

and cephalosporins, salmonellosis treatment is problematic [54].

The results revealed that all the isolates exhibited resistance against erythromycin, indicating its limited therapeutic value and this is comparable with other studies [36, 37, 55]. Of the examined isolates, 96.5% were resistant to cefotaxime and nalidixic acid. Comparable results ranging from 92.8%-100% resistance to nalidixic acid were reported [36, 37, 56, 57]. In contrary, other studies reported high sensitivity of *Salmonella* spp. to cefotaxime [32, 57, 58].

Due to the recent emergence of MDR gram negative bacteria, case reports have shown that colistin can be used in treatment with minimal adverse outcomes [59, 60]. Consequently, resistant Salmonella isolates have emerged due to the decreased binding affinity of colistin to Salmonella lipopolysaccharides [61]. Our results clarified high resistance of Salmonella isolates to colistin (96.5%). This was in agreement with other results [55, 56]. In contrary, no Salmonella isolates of chicken origin showed resistance to colistin in Iran, due to the limited availability and the high cost of the drug [57].

Fortunately, 75.7% of the isolates, including all *S*. Typhimurium and *S*. Enteritidis serovars were sensitive to ceftriaxone. This relatively low resistance is of great concern because this drug is used for the treatment of salmonellosis in children [32, 62]. On the contrary, 50% of *S*. Typhimurium isolates from diarrheic patients were resistant to the drug [37].

The high susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolates to amikacin, ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin in this study could be due to the limited use of these drugs because they are expensive and not being sold in private pharmacies without prescriptions.

Worldwide concerns are increased due to the emergence of multidrug resistant pathogens including *Salmonella* spp. [63]. All the examined isolates were resistant to at least three drugs. The majority of the isolates (31.03%) were resistant to 10 antibiotics; meanwhile, 89.6% were resistant to at least 5 antimicrobials. Several studies reported also high percentages of MDR *Salmonella* isolates to at least three antibiotics [32, 36, 38, 48, 64].

emergence of multiple The drug resistance requires strict measures against the uncontrolled use of antibiotics by farmers, veterinarians and physicians [64]. Exposure of an organism to one antibiotic may result in resistance to any other drug without previous exposure due to coselection of resistance determinants [65, 66]. In the present study, significant correlation was observed between nalidixic acid resistance and bcfC, mgtC, sopB, stn and fimH genes. Moreover, an association between gentamicin resistance and bcfC, hilA and sopB genes was also clear. In accordance, El-Atfehy [67] reported an association between resistance of Salmonella spp. to the commonly used antibiotics and different virulence associated genes in Egypt.

Increased virulence may evolve due to increased resistance to antibiotics, thus, control of resistance spread is required together with virulence spread control [68]. This can be achieved by understanding the regulation of virulence and antibiotic resistance.

It has been shown that MAR higher than 0.2 could be due to contamination from high risk sources such as humans and farm animals frequently exposed to antibiotics, thus resulting in potential risk to consumers [25]. Out of the isolates, 69% had MAR index above the average of 0.53, this is consistent with another study in Egypt [36]. The high level of antibiotic resistance exhibited by *Salmonella* spp. in this study suggests more restrictions on the irrational use of antibiotics.

The formation of biofilms on polystyrene is of concern because this material is used in food industries and kitchens [23]. Inefficient cleaning of the cutting surfaces in pluck shops or kitchens can result in formation of biofilms on these surfaces, thus, enhancing bacterial tolerance disinfectants and promoting cross to contamination [69]. Out of 29 Salmonella isolates, 89.76%, 82.8% and 37.9% were biofilm producers at 35°C, 25°C and 4°C, respectively. Overall. there was а significant effect of temperature on the ability of Salmonella isolates to produce biofilm (p $\leq$  0.001). In accordance, 85% Salmonella isolates were biofilm producers on polystyrene microtiter plates at 37°C, of which, 67.5% and 17.5% were weak and moderate producers [70]. In Spain, out of 61 Salmonella isolates from poultry, 57.4% were classified as weak, 36.1% moderate and 19.8% strong biofilm producers after overnight incubation at 37°C [71]. Out of 30 Salmonella strains, 97% produced biofilm at 30°C, while, 93% and 90% produced biofilm matrix at 37°C and 22°C, respectively [72]. Another study reported the ability of S. Typhimurium isolates from different species of birds in Iran to produce biofilms [73]. The observed differences in biofilm formation between the aforementioned studies could be attributed to several factors including strain variation, incubation time, media and temperature [70, 74].

The percentage of weak biofilm producers cannot be under estimated especially in case of *Salmonella* isolates originated from poultry and environmental sources because they could be exposed to external stress or over use of antibiotics and disinfectants resulting in acquisition of virulence potential [70, 75].

The influence of temperature is clear by increasing the incubation temperature compared to refrigeration at 4°C. The number of non-biofilm producers was significantly higher at 4°C then at 25°C and then at 35°C ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Strong biofilm producers were only observed at 25°C and 35°C. Regardless the species of microbes or the examined surface type, maximum adhesion intensity is observed when bacteria are kept at temperatures next to their optimum growth temperature [76]. These findings highlight the importance of raw poultry storage at refrigeration temperature.

A significant correlation was observed between different *Salmonella* serotypes and their ability to produce biofilms ( $p \le 0.001$ ). Likewise, considerable differences in biofilm formation among *Salmonella* serovars were observed [71, 77]. In contrary, no difference between *Salmonella* serovars of variable origin and their ability for biofilm production were reported [70, 78].

The distribution of *adr*A and *csg*D genes was 100% in the examined isolates, while *gcp*A gene was identified in 96.6% of the isolates. Although *adr*A and *csg*D genes were identified in all isolates; 62.1%, 17.3% and 10.3% were classified as nonproducers at 4°C, 25°C and 35°C, respectively. This indicates that other genes may be involved in this process. Similar findings were also reported in several studies [69, 77, 79].

Sequencing of the fimbriae regulator gene (csgD) was performed from two S. Typhimurium isolates, the first one was classified as non-biofilm producer and the other one was strong producer at 35°C. The results revealed that the sequences of the two isolates shared 100% identity with each other and with other Salmonella isolates on the GenBank. These findings indicated that detected both the gene is in S. Typhimurium isolates phenotypically classified as non-producer or strong biofilm producers. Therefore, it is not possible to state that a strain is biofilm producer based only on the molecular amplification of csgD and adrA genes [80].

### =Conclusion

The present study demonstrated the presence of potentially pathogenic *Salmonella* species in chicken and human sources in Egypt. These findings provide important insights onto hygienic measures that should be applied in pluck shop markets. The high MAR index of the isolates and their ability to form biofilm at

high temperatures constitute public health hazards.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

## References

- [1] Zhang, W.-H.; Lin, X.-Y.; Xu, L.; Gu, X.-X.; Yang, L.; Li, W.; Ren, S.-Q.; Liu, Y.-H.; Zeng, Z.-L. and Jiang, H.-X. (2016): CTX-M-27 Producing Salmonella enterica Serotypes Typhimurium Indiana and Are Prevalent Food-Producing among Animals China. Frontiers in in Microbiology, 7: 436.
- [2] Coburn, B.; Grassl, G.A. and Finlay,B.B. (2007): Salmonella, the host and disease: a brief review. Immunol Cell Biol, 85(2): 112-8.
- [3] Guibourdenche, M.; Roggentin, P.; Mikoleit, M.; Fields, P.I.; Bockemühl, J.; Grimont, P.A.D. and Weill, F.-X. (2010): Supplement 2003–2007 (No. 47) to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme. Research in Microbiology, 161(1): 26-9.
- [4] Hendriksen, R.S.; Vieira, A.R.; Karlsmose, S.; Lo Fo Wong, D.M.; Jensen, A.B.; Wegener, H.C. and Aarestrup, F.M. (2011): Global monitoring of Salmonella serovar distribution from the World Health Organization Global Foodborne Infections Country Data Network Bank: results of quality assured laboratories from 2001 to 2007. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 8(8): 887-900.
- [5] Yildirim, Y.; Gonulalan, Z.; Pamuk, S. and Ertas, N. (2011): Incidence and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella spp. on raw chicken carcasses. Food Research International, 44(3): 725-8.
- [6] Parry, S.M.; Palmer, S.R.; Slader, J. and Humphrey, T. (2002): Risk factors for salmonella food poisoning in the

domestic kitchen--a case control study. Epidemiol Infect, 129(2): 277-85.

- [7] Patrick, M.E.; Adcock, P.M.; Gomez, T.M.; Altekruse, S.F.; Holland, B.H.; Tauxe, R.V. and Swerdlow, D.L. (2004): Salmonella Enteritidis Infections, United States, 1985–1999. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 10(1): 1-7.
- [8] Hueck, C.J. (1998): Type III Protein Secretion Systems in Bacterial Pathogens of Animals and Plants. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 62(2): 379-433.
- [9] Wallis, T.S. and Galyov, E.E. (2000): Molecular basis of Salmonella-induced enteritis. Mol Microbiol, 36(5): 997-1005.
- [10] Friedrich, M.J.; Kinsey, N.E.; Vila, J. and Kadner, R.J. (1993): Nucleotide sequence of a 13.9 kb segment of the 90 kb virulence plasmid of Salmonella typhimurium: the presence of fimbrial biosynthetic genes. Mol Microbiol, 8(3): 543-58.
- [11] Borges, K.A.; Furian, T.Q.; Borsoi, A.; Moraes, H.L.S.; Salle, C.T.P. and Nascimento, V.P. (2013): Detection of virulence-associated genes in Salmonella Enteritidis isolates from chicken in South of Brazil. Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira, 33: 1416-22.
- [12] Galan, J.E. and Zhou, D. (2000): Striking a balance: modulation of the actin cytoskeleton by Salmonella. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 97(16): 8754-61.
- [13] Ben-Barak, Z.; Streckel, W.; Yaron, S.; Cohen, S.; Prager, R. and Tschape, H. (2006): The expression of the virulence-associated effector protein is dependent gene avrA on а Salmonella enterica-specific regulatory function. Int J Med Microbiol, 296(1): 25-38.
- [14] Blanc-Potard, A.B. and Groisman, E.A. (1997): The Salmonella selC locus contains a pathogenicity island

mediating intramacrophage survival. Embo j, 16(17): 5376-85.

- [15] Zou, M.; Keelara, S. and Thakur, S. (2012): Molecular characterization of Salmonella enterica serotype Enteritidis isolates from humans by antimicrobial resistance, virulence genes, and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 9(3): 232-8.
- [16] Libby, S.J.; Lesnick, M.; Hasegawa, P.; Weidenhammer, E. and Guiney, D.G. (2000): The Salmonella virulence plasmid spv genes are required for cytopathology in human monocytederived macrophages. Cell Microbiol, 2(1): 49-58.
- [17] Chuanchuen, R.; Ajariyakhajorn, K.; Koowatananukul, C.; Wannaprasat, W.; Khemtong, S. and Samngamnim, S. (2010): Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in Salmonella enterica isolates from dairy cows. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 7(1): 63-9.
- [18] Helms, M.; Vastrup, P.; Gerner-Smidt, P. and Molbak, K. (2002): Excess mortality associated with antimicrobial drug-resistant Salmonella typhimurium. Emerg Infect Dis, 8(5): 490-5.
- [19] Varma, J.K.; Molbak, K.; Barrett, T.J.; Beebe, J.L.; Jones, T.F.; Rabatsky-Ehr, T.; Smith, K.E.; Vugia, D.J.; Chang, H.G. and Angulo, F.J. (2005): Antimicrobial-resistant nontyphoidal Salmonella is associated with excess bloodstream infections and hospitalizations. J Infect Dis, 191(4): 554-61.
- [20] Grantcharova, N.; Peters, V.; Monteiro, C.; Zakikhany, K. and Romling, U. (2010): Bistable expression of CsgD in biofilm development of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium. J Bacteriol, 192(2): 456-66.
- [21] Lewis, K. (2007): Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. Nat Rev Microbiol, 5(1): 48-56.

- [22] Olson, M.E.; Ceri, H.; Morck, D.W.; Buret, A.G. and Read, R.R. (2002): Biofilm bacteria: formation and comparative susceptibility to antibiotics. Can J Vet Res, 66(2): 86-92.
- K.; [23] Steenackers, H.; Hermans, Vanderleyden, J. and De Keersmaecker. S.C.J. (2012): Salmonella biofilms: An overview on occurrence, structure, regulation and eradication. Food Research International, 45(2): 502-31.
- [24] Ahmed, H.A.: Gharieb. R.M.: Mohamed, M.E.M.; Amin, M.A. and Mohamed, R.E. (2017): Bacteriological and molecular characterization of Salmonella species isolated from humans and chickens in Sharkia Governorate, Egypt. Zagazig Veterinary Journal, 45(S1): 48-61.
- [25] Krumperman, P.H. (1983): Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of Escherichia coli to identify high-risk sources of fecal contamination of foods. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 46(1): 165-70.
- [26] Magiorakos, A.P.; Srinivasan, A.; Carey, R.B.; Carmeli, Y.; Falagas, M.E.; Giske, C.G.; Harbarth, S.; Hindler, J.F.; Kahlmeter, G.; Olsson-Liljequist, B.; Paterson, D.L.; Rice, L.B.; Stelling, J.; Struelens, M.J.; Vatopoulos, A.; Weber, J.T. and (2012): Multidrug-Monnet, D.L. resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for standard definitions interim for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect, 18(3): 268-81.
- [27] Kim, S.H. and Wei, C.I. (2009): Molecular characterization of biofilm formation and attachment of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium DT104 on food contact surfaces. J Food Prot, 72(9): 1841-7.

- [28] O'Toole, G.A. and Kolter, R. (1998): Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. Mol Microbiol, 28(3): 449-61.
- [29] RASFF. European Commission. The Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF). Annual Report 2009. EU Directorate General for Health and Consumers, Luxemburg. 2010.
- [30] Yang, B.; Xi, M.; Wang, X.; Cui, S.; Yue, T.; Hao, H.; Wang, Y.; Cui, Y.; Alali, W.Q.; Meng, J.; Walls, I.; Wong, D.M. and Doyle, M.P. (2011): Prevalence of Salmonella on raw poultry at retail markets in China. J Food Prot, 74(10): 1724-8.
- [31] Huehn, S.; La Ragione, R.M.; Anjum, M.; Saunders, M.; Woodward, M.J.; Bunge, C.; Helmuth, R.; Hauser, E.; Guerra, B.; Beutlich, J.; Brisabois, A.; Peters, T.; Svensson, L.; Madajczak, G.; Litrup, E.; Imre, A.; Herrera-Leon, S.; Mevius, D.; Newell, D.G. and Malorny, B. (2010): Virulotyping and antimicrobial resistance typing of Salmonella enterica serovars relevant to human health in Europe. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 7(5): 523-35.
- [32] Ahmed, H.A.; El-Hofy, F.I.; Shafik, S.M.; Abdelrahman, M.A. and Elsaid, G.A. (2016): Characterization of Virulence-Associated Genes, Antimicrobial Resistance Genes, and Class 1 Integrons in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium Isolates from Chicken Meat and Humans in Egypt. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 13(6): 281-8.
- [33] Streckel, W.; Wolff, A.C.; Prager, R.; Tietze, E. and Tschape, H. (2004): Expression profiles of effector proteins SopB, SopD1, SopE1, and AvrA differ with systemic, enteric, and epidemic strains of Salmonella enterica. Mol Nutr Food Res, 48(7): 496-503.

- [34] Zou, W.; Al-Khaldi, S.F.; Branham, W.S.; Han, T.; Fuscoe, J.C.; Han, J.; Foley, S.L.; Xu, J.; Fang, H.; Cerniglia, C.E. and Nayak, R. (2011): Microarray analysis of virulence gene profiles in Salmonella serovars from food/food animal environment. J Infect Dev Ctries, 5(2): 94-105.
- [35] Hopkins, K.L. and Threlfall, E.J. (2004): Frequency and polymorphism of sopE in isolates of Salmonella enterica belonging to the ten most prevalent serovars in England and Wales. Journal of Medical Microbiology, 53: 539-43.
- [36] Abd-Elghany, S.M.; Sallam, K.I.; Abd-Elkhalek, A. and Tamura, T. (2015): Occurrence, genetic characterization and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella isolated from chicken meat and giblets. Epidemiol Infect, 143(5): 997-1003.
- [37] Gharieb, R.M.; Tartor, Y.H. and Khedr, M.H. (2015): Non-Typhoidal Salmonella in poultry meat and diarrhoeic patients: prevalence, antibiogram, virulotyping, molecular detection and sequencing of class I integrons in multidrug resistant strains. Gut Pathog, 7: 34.
- [38] Ammar, A.M.; Mohamed, A.A.; Abd El-Hamid, M.I. and El-Azzouny, M.M. (2016): Virulence genotypes of clinical SalmonellaSerovars from broilers in Egypt. J Infect Dev Ctries, 10(4): 337-46.
- [39] Akbarmehr, J. (2010): Isolation of Salmonella spp. from poultry (ostrich, pigeon, and chicken) and detection of their hilA gene by PCR method. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 4(24): 2678-81.
- [40] Hardt, W.D.; Urlaub, H. and Galan, J.E. (1998): A substrate of the centisome 63 type III protein secretion system of Salmonella typhimurium is encoded by a cryptic bacteriophage.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 95(5): 2574-9.

- [41] Han, J.; Gokulan, K.; Barnette, D.; Khare, S.; Rooney, A.W.; Deck, J.; Nayak, R.; Stefanova, R.; Hart, M.E. and Foley, S.L. (2013): Evaluation of virulence and antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis isolates from humans and chicken- and egg-associated sources. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 10(12): 1008-15.
- [42] Mezal, E.H.; Sabol, A.; Khan, M.A.; Ali, N.; Stefanova, R. and Khan, A.A. (2014): Isolation and molecular characterization of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis from poultry house and clinical samples during 2010. Food Microbiol, 38: 67-74.
- [43] Amini, K.; Salehi, T.Z.; Nikbakht, G.; Ranjbar, R.; Amini, J. and Ashrafganjooei, S.B. (2010): Molecular detection of invA and spv virulence genes in Salmonella Enteritidis isolated from human and animals in Iran. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 4(21): 2202-10.
- [44] Swamy, S.C.; Barnhart, H.M.; Lee, M.D. and Dreesen, D.W. (1996): Virulence determinants invA and spvC in salmonellae isolated from poultry products, wastewater, and human sources. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 62(10): 3768-71.
- [45] Rotger, R. and Casadesus, J. (1999): The virulence plasmids of Salmonella. Int Microbiol, 2(3): 177-84.
- [46] Gunzel, D.; Kucharski, L.M.; Kehres, D.G.; Romero, M.F. and Maguire, M.E. (2006): The MgtC virulence factor of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium activates Na(+),K(+)-ATPase. J Bacteriol, 188(15): 5586-94.
- [47] Skyberg, J.A.; Logue, C.M. and Nolan, L.K. (2006): Virulence genotyping of Salmonella spp. with

Mohamed et al., (2021)

multiplex PCR. Avian Dis, 50(1): 77-81.

- [48] Sinwat, N.; Angkittitrakul, S. and Chuanchuen, R. (2015): Characterization of Antimicrobial Resistance in Salmonella enterica Isolated from Pork, Chicken Meat, and Humans in Northeastern Thailand. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 12(9): 759-65.
- [49] Porwollik, S.; Boyd, E.F.; Choy, C.; Cheng, P.; Florea, L.; Proctor, E. and McClelland, M. (2004): Characterization of Salmonella enterica Subspecies I Genovars by Use of Microarrays. Journal of Bacteriology, 186(17): 5883-98.
- [50] Aarestrup, F.M. (1999): Association between the consumption of antimicrobial agents in animal husbandry and the occurrence of resistant bacteria among food animals. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 12(4): 279-85.
- [51] Gales, A.C.; Sader, H.S.; Mendes, R.E. and Jones, R.N. (2002): Salmonella spp. isolates causing bloodstream infections in Latin America: report of antimicrobial activity from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1997-2000). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 44(3): 313-8.
- [52] Cetinkaya, F.; Cibik, R.; Ece Soyutemiz, G.; Ozakin, C.; Kayali, R. and Levent, B. (2008): Shigella and Salmonella contamination in various foodstuffs in Turkey. Food Control, 19(11): 1059-63.
- [53] Lauderdale, T.L.; Aarestrup, F.M.; Chen, P.C.; Lai, J.F.; Wang, H.Y.; Shiau, Y.R.; Huang, I.W. and Hung, C.L. (2006): Multidrug resistance among different serotypes of clinical Salmonella isolates in Taiwan. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 55(2): 149-55.
- [54] Yan, J.-J.; Chiou, C.-S.; Lauderdale, T.-L.Y.; Tsai, S.-H. and Wu, J.-J. (2005): Cephalosporin and

Ciprofloxacin Resistance in Salmonella, Taiwan. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 11(6): 947-50.

- [55] Cardoso, M.O.; Ribeiro, A.R.; Santos, L.R.d.; Pilotto, F.; Moraes, H.L.S.d.; Salle, C.T.P.; Rocha, S.L.d.S. and Nascimento, V.P.d. (2006): Antibiotic resistance in Salmonella Enteritidis isolated from broiler carcasses. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 37: 368-71.
- [56] Nagappa, K.; Tamuly, S.; Brajmadhuri; Saxena, M.K. and Singh, S.P. (2007): Isolation of Salmonella Typhimurium from poultry eggs and meat of Tarai region of Uttaranchal. Indian Journal of Biotechnology, 6: 407-9.
- [57] Sodagari, H.R.; Mashak, Z. and Ghadimianazar, A. (2015): Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella serotypes isolated from retail chicken meat and giblets in Iran. J Infect Dev Ctries, 9(5): 463-9.
- [58] Soomro, A.H.; Khaskheli, M.; Bhutto, M.B.; Shah, G.; Memon, A. and Dewani, P. (2010): Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella serovars isolated from poultry meat in Hyderabad, Pakistan. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 34(5): 455-60.
- [59] Sarkar, S.; DeSantis, E.R. and Kuper, J. (2007): Resurgence of colistin use. Am J Health Syst Pharm, 64(23): 2462-6.
- [60] Pintado, V.; San Miguel, L.G.; Grill,
  F.; Mejia, B.; Cobo, J.; Fortun, J.;
  Martin-Davila, P. and Moreno, S. (2008): Intravenous colistin sulphomethate sodium for therapy of infections due to multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria. J Infect, 56(3): 185-90.
- [61] Sun, S.; Negrea, A.; Rhen, M. and Andersson, D.I. (2009): Genetic Analysis of Colistin Resistance in Salmonella enterica Serovar

Typhimurium. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 53(6): 2298-305.

- [62] Chen, S.; Zhao, S.; White, D.G.; Schroeder, C.M.; Lu, R.; Yang, H.; McDermott, P.F.; Ayers, S. and Meng, J. (2004): Characterization of multipleantimicrobial-resistant salmonella serovars isolated from retail meats. Appl Environ Microbiol, 70(1): 1-7.
- [63] Busani, L.; Graziani, C.; Battisti, A.; Franco, A.; Ricci, A.; Vio, D.; Digiannatale, E.; Paterlini, F.; D'Incau, M.; Owczarek, S.; Caprioli, A. and Luzzi, I. (2004): Antibiotic resistance in Salmonella enterica serotypes Typhimurium, Enteritidis and Infantis from human infections, foodstuffs and farm animals in Italy. Epidemiol Infect, 132(2): 245-51.
- [64] Thakur, Y.R. and Bajaj, B.K. (2006): Antibiotic resistance and molecular characterization of poultry isolates of Salmonella by RAPD-PCR. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 22(11): 1177-83.
- [65] Miranda, J.M.; Mondragon, A.C.; Martinez, B.; Guarddon, M. and Rodriguez, J.A. (2009): Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of Salmonella from different raw foods in Mexico. J Food Prot, 72(5): 966-71.
- [66] Sayah, R.S.; Kaneene, J.B.; Johnson, Y. and Miller, R. (2005): Patterns of antimicrobial resistance observed in Escherichia coli isolates obtained from domestic- and wild-animal fecal samples, human septage, and surface water. Appl Environ Microbiol, 71(3): 1394-404.
- [67] El-Atfehy, N. Genomic comparison and characterization of Salmonella enterica serovars by the use of different molecular techniques. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine: Cairo University; 2012.
- [68] Schroeder, M.; Brooks, B.D. and Brooks, A.E. (2017): The Complex Relationship between Virulence and Antibiotic Resistance. Genes, 8(1): 39.

- Mohamed et al., (2021)
- [69] De Oliveira, D.C.; Fernandes Junior,
  A.; Kaneno, R.; Silva, M.G.; Araujo Junior, J.P.; Silva, N.C. and Rall, V.L.
  (2014): Ability of Salmonella spp. to produce biofilm is dependent on temperature and surface material. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 11(6): 478-83.
- [70] Nair, A.; Rawool, D.B.; Doijad, S.; Poharkar, K.; Mohan, V.; Barbuddhe, S.B.; Kolhe, R.; Kurkure, N.V.; Kumar, Malik, S.V.S. A.; and Balasaravanan. Τ. (2015): Biofilm formation and genetic diversity of Salmonella isolates recovered from clinical. food, poultry and environmental sources. Infect Genet Evol. 36: 424-33.
- [71] Diez-Garcia, M.; Capita, R. and Alonso-Calleja, C. (2012): Influence of serotype on the growth kinetics and the ability to form biofilms of Salmonella isolates from poultry. Food Microbiol, 31(2): 173-80.
- [72] Stepanović, S.; Ćirković, I.; Mijač, V. and Švabić-Vlahović, M. (2003): Influence of the incubation temperature, atmosphere and dynamic conditions on biofilm formation by Salmonella spp. Food Microbiology, 20(3): 339-43.
- [73] Ghasemmahdi, H.; Tajik, H.; Moradi, M.; Mardani, K.; Modaresi, R.; Badali, A. and Dilmaghani, M. (2015): Antibiotic Resistance Pattern and Biofilm Formation Ability of Clinically Isolates of Salmonella enterica Serotype typhimurium. Int J Enteric Pathog, 3(2): 4-27372.
- [74] Kalai Chelvam, K.; Chai, L.C. and Thong, K.L. (2014): Variations in motility and biofilm formation of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. Gut Pathogens, 6: 2-.
- [75] Majtan, J.; Majtanova, L.; Xu, M. and Majtan, V. (2008): In vitro effect of subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics on biofilm formation by clinical strains of Salmonella enterica

serovar Typhimurium isolated in Slovakia. J Appl Microbiol, 104(5): 1294-301.

- [76] Morton, L.H.G.; Greenway, D.L.A.; Gaylarde, C.C. and Surman, S.B. (1998): Consideration of some resistance implications of the of biofilms to biocides. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 41(3): 247-59.
- [77] Seixas, R.; Gabriel, M.; Machado, J.; Tavares, L.; Bernardo, F. and Oliveira, M. (2014): Effect of simulated gastrointestinal conditions on biofilm formation by Salmonella 1,4,[5],12:i. ScientificWorldJournal, 2014: 153956.
- [78] Solomon, E.B.; Niemira, B.A.; Sapers, G.M. and Annous, B.A. (2005): Biofilm formation, cellulose

production, and curli biosynthesis by Salmonella originating from produce, animal, and clinical sources. J Food Prot, 68(5): 906-12.

- [79] Ziech, R.E.; Perin, A.P.; Lampugnani, C.; Sereno, M.J.; Viana, C.; Soares, V.M.; Pereira, J.G.; Pinto, J.P.d.A.N. and Bersot, L.d.S. (2016): Biofilmproducing ability and tolerance to industrial sanitizers in Salmonella spp. isolated from Brazilian poultry processing plants. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 68: 85-90.
- [80] Monds, R.D. and O'Toole, G.A. (2009): The developmental model of microbial biofilms: ten years of a paradigm up for review. Trends Microbiol, 17(2): 73-87.

#### الملخص العربى

#### مقاومة المضادات الحيوية والجينات المرتبطة بالضراوة وتكوين الشريط الحيوى لأنواع السالمونيلا المستفردة من مصادر مختلفة

محمد السيد محمد، ر حاب عيد محمد، رشا محمد غريب، ماجده عبدالمنعم امين، هبه أحمد\*

قسم الأمراض المشتركه، كليه الطب البيطري، جامعه الزقازيق، 44511، مصر

تعد العدوى بأنواع السالمونيلا من أهم الاندلاعات الكبرى للعدوى بالغذاء وذات اهتمام عالمى. استهدفت الدراسة الحالية توصيف محددات الضراوة و الحساسية للمضادات الحيوية والقدرة على تكوين الشريط الحيوى لعدد 29 مستفردة من نوع السالمونيلا من أصل داجنى وآدمى بمصر. أسفر التعريف الجزيئى لجينات مرتبطة بالضراوة عن تحديد جين من نوع السالمونيلا من أصل داجنى وآدمى بمصر. أسفر التعريف الجزيئى لجينات مرتبطة بالضراوة عن تحديد جين *avr*A من نوع السالمونيلا من أصل داجنى وآدمى بمصر. أسفر التعريف الجزيئى لجينات مرتبطة بالضراوة عن تحديد جين *avr*A من نوع السالمونيلا من أصل داجنى وآدمى بمصر. أسفر التعريف الجزيئى لجينات مرتبطة بالضراوة عن تحديد جين *avr*A فى كل المستفردات التى فحصت. بينما عرفت جينات *gpB*, *stn*, *hil*A and *bcf*C بنسبة *sop*B, *stn*, *hil*A and *bcf*C من *gpg* من *gpg* ما معالي التوالى. كما وجد جينى المستفردات بينما وجد أن 80.0% و 10.3% تحتوى على جين *mgt*C و *gpg* و *gpg* ما معلى جين *gpg* و *gpg* مضاد حيوى لتقيم حساسية المستفردات لها. اتضح *fim*A و جين *gpg* من *gpg* ما و *gpg* ما معاد ما العدد 10 مضاد حيوى لتقيم حساسية المستفردات لها. اتضح مضاد تعوية، بينما 6.8% و 10.3% ما وجد جينى مضادات حيوية، بينما 6.8% و 10.3% و 10.3% و 10.3% و 10.5% و